

## Harris's Sparrow

Unanimously Accepted 7-0

Committee Member Comments:

CM1: Very clear photographs. Experienced observer. Multiple observers.

CM2: Good photos, seen by several.

CM3: Excellent write-up and documentation. Photos are definitive and clinch an identification well-supported by the written report. This is not a species normally kept in captivity. Provenance does not seem to be an issue. Therefore, I fully endorse acceptance FOR the State List. Congrats to the finders and kudos on the write-up and documentation.

CM4: This submission of the Harris's Sparrow (HASP) meets the criteria of "recognizable photographs" (Bylaw V.E.2.). Written description gives enough detail to identify a HASP with sight records of "at least three persons" (Bylaw V.E.4.). Photographs and descriptions provided enough detail to identify the bird as a HASP. Photographs and written submission distinguish HASP from similar species of Lapland Longspur and House Sparrow. In the written description and photos, the bird was streaky brown and black overall with a black bib, face, and crown. The photos show and submission describes the dark gray cheek and nape.

CM5: Very good description and photos. Also seen by more than one person.

CM6: Very well documented bird! Observed over a period of time by many birders! Great job finding the bird by the observers.

CM7: Photos and written description are consistent with Harris's Sparrow.