## WV BIRD RECORDS COMMITTEE REPORT FORM

Please Type or Print Legibly

Species:	Common Teal (Anas	crecca crecca)	Number: (leave blank)	2015-7
Location:	Rehe Wildlife Manag	ement Area	Date: 3/26/15	Time: 10:00
Bird Seen:	10:00	То: 10:30	Age/Sex: Adult Male	
a complete allow. Do	e written description not feel constrained photographs or recon	can serve as verification for t	he biological record. Please blonal supporting descriptions.	ic photograph, vocal recordings) be as thorough as your field notes /illustrations may be attached. e permanent record for the
Small wat	erfowl. Small, thin, da	herwise fairly typical in shape fo	aped. Plump, round head. Eye v	was dark. Neck was short. Body was et at the tips before the tip of the
Head was in a continuing winged T barring. N	nuous patch. This gree eal. Breast was mottle No vertical white spurs		as by pale lines which were bold fluence than Green-wingd Teal. ovious black borders. Back and v	Flanks were gray with coarse
Descripti	on of any vocalizations	s, if heard:		
No vocal	izations noted.			
	on of behavior:	a group of 10 Green-winged Tea	I. Bird fed by dabbling. Similar	in behavior to Green-winged Teal
and other	r dabblers.			

Habitat: (General and Specific)	
General: Wetland/pond surrounde	ed by wooded area and in a complex of other small wetlands/ponds.
Specific: Rehe Wildlife Managem	nent Area in Arthurdale, WV.
	* *
Circumstances of observation: (In	clude details of distance to bird, optics employed, lighting in relation to observer and the bird)
	ds away. Kowa TSN 883 Prominar scope with 20-60x zoom eyepiece and Swarovski Swarovision alize. Bird was somewhat backlit though conditions were overcast/bright. View was unobstructed.
× ×	
Previous experience with this spe-	cies:
Have seen Common Teal before i	n Maryland and New Jersey
	1
Evneriences with similarly annear	ring species which are eliminated by your description: (Please be specific)
	migrant through the area and seen regularly and frequently. No other birds are readily confused
with Common real.	
Other observers: (Names, Addres	ses. F-mail please)
If the others agree with your iden their own report.	tification they can sign this form here. If they care to provide additional details they can submit
David Daniels, Hannah Clipp, and	d numerous others.
Additional observer signature:	
_	
Additional observer signature:	
r	
Additional observer signature:	

	The District of Committee of Control of the Carlot of Ca	
The Stoley Cut	ide to Birds, National Geographic Field Guide to the Birds of North America, www.sibleyguides.com	
	s ·	
		10
	×	
. 6		
How long afte	r observing this bird did you first write this description?	
A wasales all	signing to fill out this form. Field notes made to ebird on the day of observation.	
4 weeks arter:	signing to mi out this form, rield notes made to control of the tay of observation.	
	*	
Name:	Derek D. Courtney, MD	
Name:	Derek D. Courtney, MD	
	Derek D. Courtney, MD	
Name: Address:	Derek D. Courtney, MD	
Address:		
Address:	Derek D. Courtney, MD 4/20/15	

Mail to: WV Bird Records Committee
Attn: Wendell Argabrite
111 Jefferson Park Dr.
Huntington, WV 25705
Or E-mail to: wargabrite@aol.com

Please use the space below to add any appropriate details you feel were missing from the above form or to expand on any answers whose allotted space was insufficient for a complete response:

It should be noted that, at present, Common Teal is not elevated to species status by the AOU/ABA. Numerous other bodies do make a species differentiation between Common and Green-winged Teal. Regardless, this subspecies (or species) is deserving of consideration by the BRC and should be evaluated for inclusion of the WV ornithological record in light of potential split in the future and treatment of other subspecies in the past.

For brevity's sake, the size, structure, and plumage of this dabbling duck eliminates anything not in the Green-winged Teal complex. No other bird shows a rufous head with green facial patch, green speculum, gray body, etc.

The crux of the committee's evaluation will be on separating this bird as a Common Teal. In this case, notably, the bird in question has bold pale lines demarcating the green facial pattern. Bolder than accompanying male Green-winged Teal. The head was also slightly lighter rufous than accompanying Green-winged Teal. The breast was decidedly grayish with very little buffy influence as opposed to the accompanying and normal Green-winged Teal which showed obvious buffy tones to their breasts. This bird completely lacked the bold white spur ahead of the grayish flanks that is present on Green-winged Teal. These are all appropriate characteristics for Common Teal as opposed to Green-winged Teal.

A note on hybridization: Intergrades between Common and Green-winged Teal have been recorded. Those birds show characteristics of both parentage. This bird showed no characteristics more appropriate to Green-winged than Common Teal.

A note on provenance: Any vagrant waterfowl should have its origin examined. This bird was free-flying and showed no evidence of banding. It behaved exactly as the other wild Green-winged Teal behaved. It should also be noted that the timing is fitting with the historical pattern of vagrancy to the US. It should also be noted that several other Common Teal were reported in nearby states overlapping this bird's presence. It should also be noted that a Eurasian Wigeon of presumed wild origin was also found in the state over a similar time frame. This indicates a possible influx of Eurasian birds.

It should also be noted that this bird was evidently first reported to ebird by David Daniels on 3/25 and first documented with photos by Hannah Clipp on 3/26. Both of these individuals deserve recognition in the WV records.

























